arXiv:math/0507593v1 [math.AG] 28 Jul 2005

Singularities of orbit closures in module varieties and cones over rational normal curves

Grzegorz Zwara

February 2, 2008

Abstract

Let N be a point of an orbit closure $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_M$ in a module variety such that its orbit $\mathcal{O}_N$ has codimension two in $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_M$. We show that under some additional conditions the pointed variety $(\overline{\mathcal{O}}_M, N)$ is smoothly equivalent to a cone over a rational normal curve.

1 Introduction and the main results

Throughout the paper, k denotes an algebraically closed field, A denotes a finitely generated associative k-algebra with identity, and by a module we mean a left A-module whose underlying vector space is finite dimensional. Let d be a positive integer and denote by $\mathbb{M}(d)$ the algebra of $d \times d$-matrices with coefficients in k. The set $\operatorname{mod}_A(d)$ of algebra homomorphisms $A \to \mathbb{M}(d)$ has a natural structure of an affine variety. Indeed, if $A \simeq k\langle X_1, \dots, X_t\rangle/I$ for some two-sided ideal I, then $\operatorname{mod}_A(d)$ can be identified with the closed subset of $(\mathbb{M}(d))^t$ given by vanishing of the entries of all matrices $\rho(X_1, \dots, X_t)$, $\rho \in I$. Moreover, the general linear group $\mathrm{GL}(d)$ acts on $\operatorname{mod}_A(d)$ by conjugations

$$g \star (M_1, \dots, M_t) = (gM_1g^{-1}, \dots, gM_tg^{-1}),$$

and the $\mathrm{GL}(d)$-orbits in $\operatorname{mod}_A(d)$ correspond bijectively to the isomorphism classes of d-dimensional modules. We shall denote by $\mathcal{O}_M$ the $\mathrm{GL}(d)$-orbit

---

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000): 14L30 (Primary); 14B05, 16G10, 16G20 (Secondary).

Key Words and Phrases: Module varieties, orbit closures, types of singularities, rational normal curves.

in $\operatorname{mod}_A(d)$ corresponding to a d-dimensional module M. An interesting problem is to study geometric properties of the Zariski closure $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_M$ of an orbit $\mathcal{O}_M$ in $\operatorname{mod}_A(d)$. We refer to [2], [3], [5], [6], [12], [13] and [14] for some results in this direction.

Following Hesselink (see [9, (1.7)]) we call two pointed varieties $(\mathcal{X}, x_0)$ and $(\mathcal{Y}, y_0)$ smoothly equivalent if there are smooth morphisms $f : \mathcal{Z} \to \mathcal{X}$, $g : \mathcal{Z} \to \mathcal{Y}$ and a point $z_0 \in \mathcal{Z}$ with $f(z_0) = x_0$ and $g(z_0) = y_0$. This is an equivalence relation and the equivalence classes will be denoted by $\operatorname{Sing}(\mathcal{X}, x_0)$ and called the types of singularities. Obviously the regular points of the varieties form one type of singularity, which we denote by Reg. Let M and N be d-dimensional modules such that N is a degeneration of M, i.e., $\mathcal{O}_N \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{O}}_M$. We shall write $\operatorname{Sing}(M, N)$ for $\operatorname{Sing}(\overline{\mathcal{O}}_M, n)$, where n is an arbitrary point of $\mathcal{O}_N$. By [13, Thm.1.1], $\operatorname{Sing}(M, N) = \operatorname{Reg}$ provided $\dim \mathcal{O}_M - \dim \mathcal{O}_N = 1$.

Assume now that $\dim \mathcal{O}_M - \dim \mathcal{O}_N = 2$. It was shown recently ([14, Thm.1.3]) that $\operatorname{Sing}(M, N) = \operatorname{Reg}$ provided A is the path algebra of a Dynkin quiver. However, other types of singularities occur if A is the path algebra of the Kronecker quiver (see [2]); for instance, the type of an isolated singularity of the surface

$$\mathcal{C}_r = \left\{(x_0, \dots, x_r) \in k^{r+1};\ x_i x_j = x_l x_m \text{ if } i + j = l + m\right\}, \quad r \geq 1.$$

The surface $\mathcal{C}_r$ is the affine cone over the rational normal curve (or Veronese curve) of degree r (see [8] for an introduction to rational normal curves). Obviously $\operatorname{Sing}(\mathcal{C}_r, 0) = \operatorname{Reg}$ if and only if $r = 1$. The main theorem of the paper shows that the above types of singularities occur quite frequently for orbit closures in module varieties. From now on, we abbreviate $\dim_k \operatorname{Hom}_A(U, V)$ by $[U, V]$ for any modules U and V.

Theorem 1.1. *Let M and N be modules such that* $\dim \mathcal{O}_M - \dim \mathcal{O}_N = 2$*, $\mathcal{O}_N \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{O}}_M$ and $N = U \oplus V$ for some modules U and V satisfying*

$$[U, M] = [U, N] \qquad \text{and} \qquad [M, V] = [N, V].$$

Then $\operatorname{Sing}(M, N) = \operatorname{Sing}(\mathcal{C}_r, 0)$ *for some $r \geq 1$.*

As an application of this theorem we get the following result about preprojective modules (see Section 7 for the definition).

Theorem 1.2. *Assume that the algebra A is finite dimensional. Let M and N be preprojective modules such that $\mathcal{O}_N \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{O}}_M$ and* $\dim \mathcal{O}_M - \dim \mathcal{O}_N = 2$*. Then* $\operatorname{Sing}(M, N) = \operatorname{Sing}(\mathcal{C}_r, 0)$ *for some $r \geq 1$.*

One says that a module N is a minimal degeneration of a module M provided $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_N \subsetneq \overline{\mathcal{O}}_M$ but there is no module L with $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_N \subsetneq \overline{\mathcal{O}}_L \subsetneq \overline{\mathcal{O}}_M$. Moreover, two modules are called disjoint if they have no nonzero direct summand in common. Combining the main results in [4], [7] and [13] with Theorem 1.2, we get the following consequence.

Corollary 1.3. *Let A be the path algebra of an extended Dynkin quiver. Let M and N be two disjoint preprojective modules such that N is a minimal degeneration of M. Then $\operatorname{Sing}(M,N) = \operatorname{Sing}(\mathcal{C}_r, 0)$ for some integer $r \geq 1$.*

We consider in Section 2 some properties of short exact sequences, dimensions of homomorphism spaces and degenerations of modules. Sections 3, 4 and 5 contain preliminary results necessary to prove Proposition 5.14, which plays a central role in the paper. Sections 6 and 7 are devoted to the proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2, respectively. In Section 8 we give an application of Theorem 1.1 different from the one described in Theorem 1.2.

For a basic background on the representation theory of algebras and quivers we refer to [1] and [10]. The author gratefully acknowledges support from the Polish Scientific Grant KBN No. 1 P03A 018 27.

2 Degenerations and extensions of modules

Let W be a vector space. We denote by $\mathbb{P}W$ the projective space of one-dimensional subspaces in W. Thus we have the quotient map

$$\pi : \; W \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{P}W, \qquad w \mapsto k \cdot w,$$

of $W \setminus \{0\}$ by k^* acting by scalar multiplication. Moreover, we denote by $\mathbb{P}S$ the image $\pi(S \setminus \{0\})$ for any k^*-invariant subset S of W.

Throughout the paper, we consider short exact sequences of modules

$$\sigma : \; 0 \to U \xrightarrow{f} M \xrightarrow{g} V \to 0, \tag{2.1}$$

where U, M and V are modules, f is an A-module monomorphism, g is an A-module epimorphism and $\operatorname{Im}(f) = \operatorname{Ker}(g)$. Any such sequence σ determines an element of $\operatorname{Ext}^1_A(V,U)$ denoted by $[\sigma]$, such that $[\sigma] = 0$ if and only if the sequence σ splits, and $[\sigma] = [\tau]$ for another short exact sequence

$$\tau : \; 0 \to U \xrightarrow{f'} W \xrightarrow{g'} V \to 0$$

if and only if there is an A-isomorphism $h : M \to W$ making the following diagram commutative:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & U \arrow[r, "f"] \arrow[d, equal] & M \arrow[r, "g"] \arrow[d, "h"] & V \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & U \arrow[r, "f'"] & W \arrow[r, "g'"] & V \arrow[r] & 0.
\end{tikzcd}$$

We denote by $\mathcal{E}_M(V,U)$ the subset of $\operatorname{Ext}^1_A(V,U)$ consisting of all elements $[\sigma]$, such that the middle term of the exact sequence σ is isomorphic to M.

Lemma 2.1. *$\mathcal{E}_M(V,U)$ is a locally closed k^*-invariant subset of the vector space $\operatorname{Ext}^1_A(V,U)$.*

Proof. We use here an interpretation of the vector space $\operatorname{Ext}^1_A(V,U)$ as the quotient of the vector space $\mathbb{Z}^1_A(V,U)$ of cocycles, i.e., the k-linear maps $Z : A \to \operatorname{Hom}_k(V,U)$ satisfying

$$Z(aa') = Z(a)V(a') + U(a)Z(a'), \qquad \text{for all } a, a' \in A,$$

by the subspace of coboundaries

$$\mathbb{B}^1_A(V,U) = \{hV - Uh;\ h \in \operatorname{Hom}_k(V,U)\}.$$

Let $d = \dim_k(U \oplus V)$. We take a subspace $\mathcal{W}$ of $\mathbb{Z}^1_A(V,U)$ complementary to $\mathbb{B}^1_A(V,U)$. Then the subset $\mathcal{W}'$ of $\operatorname{mod}_A(d)$ consisting of the algebra homomorphism of the block form $\begin{bmatrix} U & Z \\ 0 & V \end{bmatrix}$, where $Z \in \mathcal{W}$, is closed. Hence the claim follows from the fact that $\mathcal{E}_M(V,U)$ is isomorphic to the intersection $\mathcal{W}' \cap \mathcal{O}_M$. □

The short exact sequence (2.1) induces two long exact sequences of vector spaces

$$0 \to \operatorname{Hom}_A(V,X) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Hom}_A(g,X)} \operatorname{Hom}_A(M,X) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Hom}_A(f,X)} \operatorname{Hom}_A(U,X) \xrightarrow{\partial}$$

$$\xrightarrow{\partial} \operatorname{Ext}^1_A(V,X) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Ext}^1_A(g,X)} \operatorname{Ext}^1_A(M,X) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Ext}^1_A(f,X)} \operatorname{Ext}^1_A(U,X),$$

$$0 \to \operatorname{Hom}_A(X,U) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Hom}_A(X,f)} \operatorname{Hom}_A(X,M) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Hom}_A(X,g)} \operatorname{Hom}_A(X,V) \xrightarrow{\partial'}$$

$$\xrightarrow{\partial'} \operatorname{Ext}^1_A(X,U) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Ext}^1_A(X,f)} \operatorname{Ext}^1_A(X,M) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Ext}^1_A(X,g)} \operatorname{Ext}^1_A(X,V),$$

for any module X. Here,

$$\partial(h) = \mathrm{Ext}^1_A(V, h)([\sigma]) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \partial'(h') = \mathrm{Ext}^1_A(h', U)([\sigma])$$

for any homomorphisms $h : U \to X$ and $h' : X \to V$. These induced exact sequences suggest us to define the following two non-negative integers

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_\sigma(X) &= [U \oplus V, X] - [M, X] = \dim_k \mathrm{Im}(\partial) \geq 0, \\ \delta'_\sigma(X) &= [X, U \oplus V] - [X, M] = \dim_k \mathrm{Im}(\partial') \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that the sequence σ splits if and only if

$$M \simeq U \oplus V \iff \delta_\sigma(U) = 0 \iff \delta'_\sigma(V) = 0$$

(see [14, Lem.2.3]). Taking the pushout of σ under h leads to the following commutative diagram with exact rows:

$$\begin{tikzcd} \sigma : & 0 \arrow[r] & U \arrow[r, "f"] \arrow[d, "h"'] & M \arrow[r, "g"] \arrow[d, "j"'] & V \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & 0 \\ \sigma' : & 0 \arrow[r] & X \arrow[r, "f'"] & W \arrow[r, "g'"] & V \arrow[r] & 0. \end{tikzcd}$$

Then $[\sigma'] = \mathrm{Ext}^1_A(V, h)([\sigma]) = \partial(h)$. Moreover, the short sequence

$$\tau : \quad 0 \to U \xrightarrow{\binom{f}{h}} M \oplus X \xrightarrow{(j, -f')} W \to 0$$

is exact and

$$\delta_\sigma(Y) = \delta_{\sigma'}(Y) + \delta_\tau(Y), \qquad \delta'_\sigma(Y) = \delta'_{\sigma'}(Y) + \delta'_\tau(Y),$$

for any module Y. In particular, we obtain the following fact.

Corollary 2.2. *Let σ' be a pushout of a short exact sequence σ. Then $\delta_{\sigma'}(Y) \leq \delta_\sigma(Y)$ and $\delta'_{\sigma'}(Y) \leq \delta'_\sigma(Y)$ for any module Y.*

We shall need the following technical result.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $\sigma : \ 0 \to U \oplus Y^i \xrightarrow{f} W \to V \to 0$ be a short exact sequence such that $\delta_\sigma(Y) < i$. Then W is isomorphic to $W' \oplus Y$ and there is a short exact sequence*

$$\sigma' : \ 0 \to U \oplus Y^{i-1} \to W' \to V \to 0$$

for some module W'. Moreover, $\delta_{\sigma'}(X) = \delta_\sigma(X)$ for any module X.

Proof. We consider the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathrm{Hom}_A(V,Y) \to \mathrm{Hom}_A(W,Y) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Hom}_A(f,Y)} \mathrm{Hom}_A(U \oplus Y^i, Y)$$

induced by σ. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be the i-dimensional subspace of $\mathrm{Hom}_A(U \oplus Y^i, Y)$ spanned by i canonical projections. Since the codimension of the image of $\mathrm{Hom}_A(f,Y)$ in $\mathrm{Hom}_A(U \oplus Y^i, Y)$ equals $\delta_\sigma(Y) < \dim_k \mathcal{H}$, the image contains a nonzero element $h \in \mathcal{H}$. Thus h factors through f. We decompose

$$f = (f_0, f_1, \ldots, f_i) \qquad \text{and} \qquad h = (0, \lambda_1 \cdot 1_Y, \ldots, \lambda_i \cdot 1_Y) \neq 0.$$

Then $\lambda_j \neq 0$ and consequently f_j is a section, for some $j \in \{1, \ldots, i\}$. The remaining part of the proof is now straightforward (for instance, use a dual version of [14, Lem.2.4]). ☐

The next result ([11]) gives a characterization of degenerations of modules.

Theorem 2.4. *Let M and N be modules. Then the inclusion $\mathcal{O}_N \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{O}}_M$ is equivalent to each of the following conditions:*

(1) There is a short exact sequence $0 \to Z \to Z \oplus M \to N \to 0$ for some module Z.

(2) There is a short exact sequence $0 \to N \to M \oplus Z' \to Z' \to 0$ for some module Z'.

We shall need the following two well known direct consequences.

Corollary 2.5. *Let $\sigma: \ 0 \to U \to M \to V \to 0$ be a short exact sequence. Then $\mathcal{O}_{U \oplus V} \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{O}}_M$.*

Proof. We apply Theorem 2.4 to a direct sum of σ and the short exact sequence $0 \to 0 \to U \xrightarrow{1_U} U \to 0$. ☐

Corollary 2.6. *Let M and N be modules such that $\mathcal{O}_N \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{O}}_M$. Then*

$$[M,Y] \leq [N,Y] \qquad \textit{and} \qquad [Y,M] \leq [Y,N]$$

for any module Y.

Proof. Observe that

$$\delta_\sigma(Y) = [N,Y] - [M,Y] \qquad \text{and} \qquad \delta'_\sigma(Y) = [Y,N] - [Y,M]$$

for any short exact sequence σ of the form $0 \to Z \to Z \oplus M \to N \to 0$. ☐

3 Generic quotients

Throughout the section, U, M and V are modules such that there is a short exact sequence

$$\sigma : \ 0 \to U \to M \to V \to 0$$

with $\delta_\sigma(V) = 0$. Let $d = \dim_k V$ and $\mathcal{Q}(U,M)$ be the subset of $\mathrm{mod}_A(d)$ consisting of all points corresponding to quotients of M by U. Obviously, the set $\mathcal{Q}(U,M)$ is $\mathrm{GL}(d)$-invariant. Moreover, it is constructible and irreducible, by [6, 2.3].

Lemma 3.1. *The orbit $\mathcal{O}_V$ is an open subset of $\overline{\mathcal{Q}(U,M)}$.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the closed subset of $\overline{\mathcal{Q}(U,M)} \times \mathrm{Hom}_k(k^d, V)$ consisting of all pairs (V', h) such that h belongs to $\mathrm{Hom}_A(V', V)$. Then the projection $\pi : \mathcal{A} \to \overline{\mathcal{Q}(U,M)}$ is a surjective regular morphism. We know that $U \oplus V'$ belongs to $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_M$ for any $V' \in \mathcal{Q}(U,M)$, by Corollary 2.5. Hence the same holds for arbitrary $V' \in \overline{\mathcal{Q}(U,M)}$. Consequently,

$$[U \oplus V', V] \geq [M, V] = [U \oplus V, V] \quad \text{and} \quad [V', V] \geq [V, V],$$

by Corollary 2.6 and since $\delta_\sigma(V) = 0$. We consider the closed subset $\mathcal{A}'$ of $\mathcal{A}$ consisting of the pairs (V', h) such that h is not invertible. Observe that

$$\dim (\pi|_{\mathcal{A}'})^{-1}(V') = \begin{cases} [V, V] - 1, & \text{if } V' \simeq V, \\ [V', V], & \text{if } V' \not\simeq V. \end{cases}$$

Hence $(\pi|_{\mathcal{A}'})^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_V)$ is an open subset of $\mathcal{A}'$. Applying the inverse image of the section

$$\overline{\mathcal{Q}(U,M)} \to \mathcal{A}', \quad V' \mapsto (V', 0),$$

we get that $\mathcal{O}_V$ is an open subset of $\overline{\mathcal{Q}(U,M)}$. □

Let $\mathcal{S}_V(U,M)$ denote the set of all A-monomorphisms $f : U \to M$ with $\mathrm{Coker}(f) \simeq V$.

Lemma 3.2. *$\mathcal{S}_V(U,M)$ is an open subset of $\mathrm{Hom}_A(U,M)$.*

Proof. We use a construction described in [5, 2.1]. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be the subset of $\mathrm{Hom}_A(U,M) \times \mathrm{Hom}_k(k^d, M)$ consisting of pairs $g = (g_1, g_2)$ such that the map $g : U \oplus k^d \to M$ is invertible. Then the projection $\pi : \mathcal{G} \to \mathrm{Hom}_A(U,M)$ is a composition of an open immersion followed by a trivial vector bundle. Moreover, if $g \in \mathcal{G}$ then $g^{-1} \star M$ has a block form

$$\begin{bmatrix} U & Z \\ 0 & V' \end{bmatrix},$$

where V' belongs to the set $\mathcal{Q}(U,M)$. This leads to a regular morphism of varieties

$$\theta : \mathcal{G} \to \overline{\mathcal{Q}(U,M)}, \quad g \mapsto V'.$$

Then $\theta^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_V)$ is open in $\mathcal{G}$, by Lemma 3.1. Since the map π is open, the set $\mathcal{S}_V(U,M) = \pi(\theta^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_V))$ is open in $\operatorname{Hom}_A(U,M)$. ☐

4 Monomorphisms and extensions

Throughout the section, U, M and V are modules such that there is a short exact sequence

$$\sigma :\ 0 \to U \xrightarrow{f} M \to V \to 0$$

with $\delta_\sigma(V) = 0$ and $\delta'_\sigma(V) = 1$.

Lemma 4.1. *Let* $\tau :\ 0 \to U \xrightarrow{f'} W \to V \to 0$ *be a nonsplittable short exact sequences such that* f *factors through* f' *(equivalently, the pushout of* τ *under* f *is a splittable sequence). Then* $W \simeq M$ *and the equality* $k \cdot [\tau] = k \cdot [\sigma]$ *holds in* $\mathbb{P}\operatorname{Ext}^1_A(V,U)$.

Proof. Since f factors through f', we get the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\tau : & 0 \arrow[r] & U \arrow[r, "f'"] \arrow[d, equal] & W \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & V \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "h"] & 0 \\
\sigma : & 0 \arrow[r] & U \arrow[r, "f"] & M \arrow[r] & V \arrow[r] & 0.
\end{tikzcd}$$

We consider the long exact sequence

$$0 \to \operatorname{Hom}_A(V,U) \to \operatorname{Hom}_A(V,M) \to \operatorname{Hom}_A(V,V) \xrightarrow{\partial} \operatorname{Ext}^1_A(V,U)$$

induced by σ. Then $\partial(1_V) = [\sigma]$ and $\partial(h) = [\tau]$. Now the claim follows from the equalities $1 = \delta'_\sigma(V) = \dim_k \operatorname{Im}(\partial)$ and since $[\sigma] \neq 0 \neq [\tau]$. ☐

The above lemma shows the existence of a unique surjective map

$$\xi_{U,M,V} : \mathcal{S}_V(U,M) \to \mathbb{P}\mathcal{E}_M(V,U),$$

such that $\xi_{U,M,V}(f') = k \cdot [\sigma']$ for any short exact sequence of the form

$$\sigma' :\ 0 \to U \xrightarrow{f'} M \to V \to 0.$$

Lemma 4.2. $\xi_{U,M,V}$ *is a regular morphism of varieties.*

Proof. Let $d = \dim_k V$. We introduce three new varieties and four regular morphisms:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & \mathcal{A} \arrow[dl, "\alpha"'] \arrow[dr, "\varphi"] & & \mathcal{C} \arrow[dl, "\beta"'] \arrow[dr, "\psi"] & \\
\mathcal{S}_V(U,M) & & \mathcal{B} & & \mathbb{P}\mathcal{E}_M(V,U).
\end{tikzcd}$$

Here $\mathcal{A}$ is the subset of $\mathcal{S}_V(U,M) \times \operatorname{Hom}_k(k^d, M)$ consisting of all pairs $g = (g_1, g_2)$ such that the map $g : U \oplus k^d \to M$ is invertible; $\mathcal{B}$ is the subset of $\mathcal{O}_M$ consisting of all elements having a block form

$$\begin{bmatrix} U & Z \\ 0 & W \end{bmatrix}$$

with $W \in \mathcal{O}_V$; and $\mathcal{C}$ is the subset of $\mathcal{B} \times \operatorname{Hom}_k(V, k^d)$ consisting of all pairs $([\begin{smallmatrix} U & Z \\ 0 & W \end{smallmatrix}], h)$ such that h is an isomorphism in $\operatorname{Hom}_A(V, W)$. Moreover, α and β are obvious projections, and $\varphi(g) = g^{-1} \star M$. If $c = ([\begin{smallmatrix} U & Z \\ 0 & W \end{smallmatrix}], h)$ belongs to $\mathcal{C}$, then

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1_U & 0 \\ 0 & h^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \star \begin{bmatrix} U & Z \\ 0 & W \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} U & Zh \\ 0 & V \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{O}_M,$$

where Zh belongs to $\mathbb{Z}^1_A(V,U) \setminus \mathbb{B}^1_A(V,U)$. We define $\psi(c)$ as the image of Zh via the following composition of canonical quotients

$$\mathbb{Z}^1_A(V,U) \setminus \mathbb{B}^1_A(V,U) \to \operatorname{Ext}^1_A(V,U) \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{P}\operatorname{Ext}^1_A(V,U).$$

One can find that α and β are surjective maps being compositions of open immersions followed by vector bundles (see for instance [5, 2.1]). Hence α and β have enough local sections, i.e., there are open neighbourhoods $\mathcal{U}$ of s and $\mathcal{V}$ of b together with regular morphisms (sections) $\alpha' : \mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{A}$, $\beta' : \mathcal{V} \to \mathcal{C}$ such that $\alpha\alpha' = 1_{\mathcal{U}}$ and $\beta\beta' = 1_{\mathcal{V}}$, for any $s \in \mathcal{S}_V(U,M)$ and $b \in \mathcal{B}$.

We take $s \in \mathcal{S}_V(U,M)$ and consider a local section $\alpha' : \mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{A}$ of α with $\mathcal{U}$ containing s. Let $a = \alpha'(s)$ and $b = \varphi(a)$. We take also a neighbourhood $\mathcal{V}$ of b and a local section $\beta' : \mathcal{V} \to \mathcal{C}$ of β. Then $\mathcal{U}' = (\varphi\alpha')^{-1}(\mathcal{V})$ is an open neighbourhood of s and it follows from our constructions that $\xi_{U,M,V}(s') = \psi\beta'\varphi\alpha'(s')$ for any $s' \in \mathcal{U}'$. Therefore $\xi_{U,M,V}|_{\mathcal{U}'}$ is a regular morphism. $\square$

The set $\mathcal{S}_V(U,M)$ is irreducible, by Lemma 3.2. Applying the surjective morphism $\xi_{U,M,V}$ we get the following consequence.

Corollary 4.3. *$\mathbb{P}\mathcal{E}_M(V,U)$ is an irreducible variety.*

5 Extensions and rational normal curves

Throughout the section,

$$\sigma_1 :\ 0 \to U_0 \xrightarrow{f_1} U_1 \xrightarrow{h_1} V \to 0$$

is a short exact sequence such that

$$\delta_{\sigma_1}(U_1) = 1, \quad \delta_{\sigma_1}(V) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \delta'_{\sigma_1}(V) = 1.$$

Our aim is to prove that $\mathbb{P}\overline{\mathcal{E}_{U_1}(V, U_0)}$ is a rational normal curve contained in $\mathbb{P}\operatorname{Ext}^1_A(V, U_0)$.

We consider the exact sequence

$$0 \to \operatorname{Hom}_A(V, U_1) \to \operatorname{Hom}_A(U_1, U_1) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Hom}_A(f_1, U_1)} \operatorname{Hom}_A(U_0, U_1)$$

induced by σ_1. Since $\delta_{\sigma_1}(U_1) > 0$ then there is an A-homomorphism $g_1 : U_0 \to U_1$ not belonging to the image of $\operatorname{Hom}_A(f_1, U_1)$. We construct successive pushouts to obtain the following commutative diagram with exact rows

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\sigma_1 : & 0 \arrow[r] & U_0 \arrow[r, "f_1"] \arrow[d, "g_1"'] & U_1 \arrow[r, "h_1"] \arrow[d, "g_2"] & V \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & 0 \\
\sigma_2 : & 0 \arrow[r] & U_1 \arrow[r, "f_2"] \arrow[d, "g_2"'] & U_2 \arrow[r, "h_2"] \arrow[d, "g_3"] & V \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & 0 \\
\sigma_3 : & 0 \arrow[r] & U_2 \arrow[r, "f_3"] \arrow[d, "g_3"'] & U_3 \arrow[r, "h_3"] \arrow[d, "g_4"] & V \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & 0 \\
& & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots &
\end{tikzcd} \tag{5.1}$$

Applying Corollary 2.2 we get the following fact.

Corollary 5.1. *$\delta_{\sigma_i}(V) = 0$ and $\delta'_{\sigma_i}(V) \leq 1$ for any $i \geq 1$.*

Lemma 5.2. *There is a short exact sequence*

$$0 \to U_0 \to U_i \to V^i \to 0$$

for any $i \geq 1$.

Proof. We proceed by induction on i. Assume that there is a short exact sequence

$$0 \to U_0 \xrightarrow{f'} U_i \xrightarrow{h'} V^i \to 0$$

for some $i \geq 1$. Taking the pushout of σ_{i+1} under h' we obtain the following commutative diagram with exact rows and columns

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & & 0 \arrow[d] & 0 \arrow[d] & & \\
 & & U_0 \arrow[r, equal] \arrow[d, "f'"'] & U_0 \arrow[d] & & \\
\sigma_{i+1} : & 0 \arrow[r] & U_i \arrow[r, "f_{i+1}"] \arrow[d, "h'"'] & U_{i+1} \arrow[r, "h_{i+1}"] \arrow[d] & V \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & 0 \\
\tau : & 0 \arrow[r] & V^i \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & W \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & V \arrow[r] & 0. \\
 & & 0 & 0 & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Thus it suffices to show that W is isomorphic to V^{i+1}. Applying Corollaries 2.2 and 5.1, we get $\delta_\tau(V) \leq \delta_{\sigma_{i+1}}(V) = 0$. Hence $\delta_\tau(V^i) = 0$. Therefore the short exact sequence τ splits and W is isomorphic to $V^i \oplus V$. ☐

Lemma 5.3. *The short exact sequence σ_i splits for some i.*

Proof. Let i be an integer not smaller than $j = \dim_k \operatorname{Ext}_A^1(V, U_0)$. We get from Lemma 5.2 a short exact sequence of the form

$$\tau : \; 0 \to U_0 \to U_i \to V^i \to 0.$$

It follows from the long exact sequence

$$0 \to \operatorname{Hom}_A(V, U_0) \to \operatorname{Hom}_A(V, U_i) \to \operatorname{Hom}_A(V, V^i) \to \operatorname{Ext}_A^1(V, U_0)$$

induced by τ that $\delta'_\tau(V) \leq j$. Applying $(i-j)$ times a dual version of Lemma 2.3 for the sequence τ we get that $U_i \simeq V^{i-j} \oplus \widetilde{U}_i$ for some module $\widetilde{U}_i$ of dimension

$$d = \dim_k U_0 + j \cdot \dim_k V.$$

Hence the sequence σ_{i+1} has the form

$$0 \to V^{i-j} \oplus \widetilde{U}_i \to V^{i+1-j} \oplus \widetilde{U}_{i+1} \to V \to 0.$$

We know that $\delta_{\sigma_{i+1}}(V) = 0$, by Corollary 5.1. Then applying $(i-j)$ times Lemma 2.3 we get an exact sequence of the form

$$0 \to \widetilde{U}_i \to \widetilde{U}_{i+1} \oplus V \to V \to 0.$$

Thus $\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{U}_i} \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\widetilde{U}_{i+1}}$, by Theorem 2.4. Obviously the chain of inclusions

$$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\widetilde{U}_j} \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\widetilde{U}_{j+1}} \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\widetilde{U}_{j+2}} \subseteq \dots$$

between orbit closures in $\mathrm{mod}_A(d)$ must terminate, i.e., $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\widetilde{U}_l} = \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\widetilde{U}_{l+1}}$ for some $l > j$. Hence $\widetilde{U}_l$ is isomorphic to $\widetilde{U}_{l+1}$ and the sequence σ_{l+1} splits. $\square$

By our assumptions the sequence σ_1 does not split. Moreover, the same holds for σ_2, by our choice of g_1. Let $n \geq 1$ be the smallest integer such that the sequence σ_{n+2} splits. As a direct consequence of Corollary 5.1 we get the following fact.

Corollary 5.4. *$\delta_{\sigma_i}(V) = 0$ and $\delta'_{\sigma_i}(V) = 1$ for $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n+1\}$.*

Let $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Since the sequence σ_{i+1} does not split, g_i does not factor through the monomorphism f_i. Consequently, the subspace $\mathcal{H}_i$ of $\mathrm{Hom}_A(U_i, U_{i+1})$ spanned by f_i and g_i has dimension 2. The bilinear map

$$F_i : \mathrm{Ext}^1_A(V, U_{i-1}) \times \mathrm{Hom}_A(U_{i-1}, U_i) \to \mathrm{Ext}^1_A(V, U_i),$$

defined by $F_i(e, f) = \mathrm{Ext}^1_A(V, f)(e)$, induces a linear map

$$F'_i : \mathrm{Ext}^1_A(V, U_{i-1}) \to \mathrm{Hom}_k(\mathcal{H}_i, \mathrm{Ext}^1_A(V, U_i)),$$

such that $(F'_i(e))(f) = F_i(e, f) = \mathrm{Ext}^1_A(V, f)(e)$.

Lemma 5.5. *The linear map F'_i is injective for $i \leq n$.*

Proof. Let $\sigma : 0 \to U_{i-1} \to W \to V \to 0$ be a short exact sequence such that $F'_i([\sigma]) = 0$. Then the pushout of σ under f_i splits, and consequently, $[\sigma] = c \cdot [\sigma_i]$ for some scalar $c \in k$, by Lemma 4.1 and Corollary 5.4. We also know that the pushout of σ under g_i splits, which means that

$$0 = \mathrm{Ext}^1_A(V, g_i)([\sigma]) = c \cdot \mathrm{Ext}^1_A(V, g_i)([\sigma_i]) = c \cdot [\sigma_{i+1}].$$

By our construction, $[\sigma_{i+1}] \neq 0$. Thus $c = 0$ and $[\sigma] = 0$. $\square$

Let W and W' be two vector spaces. If at least one of them is finite dimensional then the monomorphism

$$F : W^* \otimes_k W' \to \mathrm{Hom}_k(W, W'), \qquad F(\xi \otimes w')(w) = \xi(w) \cdot w',$$

is an isomorphism. Here W^* denotes the dual space of W. Thus F'_i induces an injective linear map

$$F''_i : \mathrm{Ext}^1_A(V, U_{i-1}) \to \mathcal{H}^*_i \otimes \mathrm{Ext}^1_A(V, U_i)$$

for $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Combining these maps we get an injective linear map

$$F'' : \mathrm{Ext}^1_A(V, U_0) \to \mathcal{H}^*_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}^*_2 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{H}^*_n \otimes \mathrm{Ext}^1_A(V, U_n).$$

Lemma 5.6. $\mathrm{rk}(F_1'(e)) = 1$ *for any* $e \in \overline{\mathcal{E}_{U_1}(V, U_0)} \setminus \{0\}$.

Proof. We know that $\mathrm{rk}(F_1'(e)) \geq 1$, by Lemma 5.5. Assume now that e belongs to $\mathcal{E}_{U_1}(V, U_0)$. It suffices to show that $\mathrm{rk}(F_1'(e)) \leq 1$, as the function $\mathrm{rk}(F_1'(-)) : \mathrm{Ext}^1_A(V, U_0) \to \mathbb{Z}$ is semicontinuous. We take a short exact sequence

$$\sigma : \; 0 \to U_0 \to U_1 \to V \to 0$$

such that $[\sigma] = e$. Since $\delta_\sigma(U_1) = 1$, the kernel of the last map $\partial = \mathrm{Ext}^1_A(V, -)([\sigma])$ in the long exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathrm{Hom}_A(V, U_1) \to \mathrm{Hom}_A(U_1, U_1) \to \mathrm{Hom}_A(U_0, U_1) \xrightarrow{\partial} \mathrm{Ext}^1_A(V, U_1)$$

induced by σ has codimension 1. We know that $\dim \mathcal{H}_1 = 2$ and therefore there is a nonzero homomorphism $h \in \mathcal{H}_1$ such that

$$0 = \partial(h) = \mathrm{Ext}^1_A(V, h)([\sigma]) = F_1'([\sigma])(h).$$

Consequently, $F_1'(e)$ is not injective and $\mathrm{rk}(F_1'(e)) \leq 1$. $\square$

If $e \in \overline{\mathcal{E}_{U_1}(V, U_0)} \setminus \{0\}$ then $\mathrm{Ker}(F_1'(e))$ has dimension 1. Moreover,

$$\mathrm{Ker}(F_1'(\lambda \cdot e)) = \mathrm{Ker}(\lambda \cdot F_1'(e)) = \mathrm{Ker}(F_1'(e))$$

for any nonzero scalar $\lambda \in k$. Thus we obtain a regular morphism

$$\varphi_1 : \mathbb{P}\overline{\mathcal{E}_{U_1}(V, U_0)} \to \mathbb{P}\mathcal{H}_1, \qquad \varphi_1(k \cdot e) = \mathrm{Ker}(F_1'(e)).$$

Let $\mathcal{H}_1^\diamond = \mathcal{H}_1 \cap \mathcal{S}_V(U_0, U_1)$. It is an open, dense and k^*-invariant subset of $\mathcal{H}_1$, by Lemma 3.2. We can consider a regular map

$$\widehat{\varphi}_1 : \; \mathbb{P}\mathcal{H}_1^\diamond \to \mathbb{P}\mathcal{E}_{U_1}(V, U_0), \qquad \widehat{\varphi}_1(k \cdot h) = \xi_{U_0, U_1, V}(h).$$

Lemma 5.7. $\varphi_1 \circ \widehat{\varphi}_1(k \cdot h) = k \cdot h$ *for any* $h \in \mathcal{H}_1^\diamond$.

Proof. Let $h \in \mathcal{H}_1^\diamond$. Then there is a short exact sequence of the form

$$\sigma : \; 0 \to U_0 \xrightarrow{h} U_1 \to V \to 0.$$

Obviously, $\widehat{\varphi}_1(k \cdot h) = k \cdot [\sigma]$. Since the pushout of σ under h splits, h belongs to the kernel of $F_1'([\sigma])$. But the kernel has dimension 1 and therefore

$$k \cdot h = \mathrm{Ker}(F_1'([\sigma])) = \varphi_1(k \cdot [\sigma]) = \varphi_1(\widehat{\varphi}_1(k \cdot h)).$$

$\square$

Lemma 5.8. *$\varphi_1^{-1}(k\cdot h)=\{\widehat{\varphi}_1(k\cdot h)\}$ for any $h\in\mathcal{H}_1^\diamond$.*

Proof. Since $h\in\mathcal{S}_V(U_0,U_1)$, there is a short exact sequence of the form

$$\tau:\ 0\to U_0\xrightarrow{h}U_1\to V\to 0.$$

Let $\sigma:\ 0\to U_0\xrightarrow{f'}W\to V\to 0$ be a short exact sequence such that $[\sigma]\in\overline{\mathcal{E}_{U_1}(V,U_0)}\setminus\{0\}$ and $\varphi_1(k\cdot[\sigma])=k\cdot h$. We have to show that $k\cdot[\sigma]=\xi_{U_0,U_1,V}(h)$. Since h belongs to the kernel of $F_i'([\sigma])$, h factors through f'. Hence $k\cdot[\sigma]=k\cdot[\tau]=\xi_{U_0,U_1,V}(h)$, by Lemma 4.1 and the equalities

$$\delta_\tau(V)=\delta_{\sigma_1}(V)=0\qquad\text{and}\qquad\delta'_\tau(V)=\delta'_{\sigma_1}(V)=1.$$

□

Lemma 5.9. *The map $\widehat{\varphi}_1:\ \mathbb{P}\mathcal{H}_1^\diamond\to\mathbb{P}\mathcal{E}_{U_1}(V,U_0)$ is dominant and the map $\varphi_1:\mathbb{P}\overline{\mathcal{E}_{U_1}(V,U_0)}\to\mathbb{P}\mathcal{H}_1$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. Lemma 5.8 implies that $\widehat{\varphi}_1(\mathbb{P}\mathcal{H}_1^\diamond)=\varphi_1^{-1}(\mathbb{P}\mathcal{H}_1^\diamond)$ is an open subset of $\mathbb{P}\overline{\mathcal{E}_{U_1}(V,U_0)}$. Moreover, $\mathbb{P}\overline{\mathcal{E}_{U_1}(V,U_0)}$ is irreducible, by Corollary 4.3. Hence the map $\widehat{\varphi}_1$ is dominant and φ_1 is birational. Finally, φ_1 is an isomorphism as it is a projective map and $\mathbb{P}\mathcal{H}_1$ is a smooth curve. □

We define inductively subsets $\mathcal{E}_i\subseteq\operatorname{Ext}_A^1(V,U_{i-1})$ for $i\in\{1,\dots,n+1\}$ as follows:

$$\mathcal{E}_1=\overline{\mathcal{E}_{U_1}(V,U_0)},\qquad\mathcal{E}_{i+1}=F_i(\mathcal{E}_i\times\mathcal{H}_i).$$

We consider the cofinite subset Λ of k consisting of the scalars λ such that $f_1+\lambda\cdot g_1$ belongs to $\mathcal{S}_V(U_0,U_1)$, or equivalently to $\mathcal{H}_1^\diamond$. If $\lambda\in\Lambda$ then we derive from the diagram (5.1) the following commutative diagram with exact rows:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & U_0 \arrow[r, "f_1+\lambda\cdot g_1"] \arrow[d, "g_1"'] & U_1 \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "g_2"] & V \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & U_1 \arrow[r, "f_2+\lambda\cdot g_2"] \arrow[d, "g_2"'] & U_2 \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "g_3"] & V \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & U_2 \arrow[r, "f_3+\lambda\cdot g_3"] \arrow[d, "g_3"'] & U_3 \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "g_4"] & V \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & 0. \\
 & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots &
\end{tikzcd}\tag{5.2}$$

This implies the following fact.

Corollary 5.10. *The homomorphism* $f_i + \lambda \cdot g_i$ *belongs to* $\mathcal{S}_V(U_{i-1}, U_i)$ *for any* $\lambda \in \Lambda$ *and* $i \geq 1$.

We derive from Corollaries 5.4 and 5.10 a regular map

$$\eta_i : \Lambda \to \mathbb{P}\mathcal{E}_i, \qquad \eta_i(\lambda) = \xi_{U_{i-1},U_i,V}(f_i + \lambda \cdot g_i),$$

for any $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n+1\}$.

Lemma 5.11. *Let* $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n+1\}$*. Then:*

(1) The map $\eta_i : \Lambda \to \mathbb{P}\mathcal{E}_i$ *is dominant.*

(2) $\operatorname{rk}(F'_i(e)) = 1$ *provided* $i \leq n$ *and* $e \in \mathcal{E}_i \setminus \{0\}$.

Proof. We proceed by induction on i. For $i = 1$ the claim follows from Lemmas 5.6 and 5.9. Assume now that the claim holds for some $i \leq n$. By (2), we can consider two regular maps

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_i &: \mathbb{P}\mathcal{E}_i \to \mathbb{P}\mathcal{H}_i, & \varphi_i(k \cdot e) &= \operatorname{Ker}(F'_i(e)), \\ \psi_i &: \mathbb{P}\mathcal{E}_i \to \mathbb{P}\mathcal{E}_{i+1}, & \psi_i(k \cdot e) &= \operatorname{Im}(F'_i(e)). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, ψ_i is a surjective map such that

$$\psi_i(\eta_i(\lambda)) = \eta_{i+1}(\lambda), \quad \lambda \in \Lambda,$$

by the diagram (5.2). Thus η_{i+1} is a dominant map. Assume now that $i < n$. Observe that

$$\mathcal{E}^{\diamond}_{i+1} = \{e \in \mathcal{E}_{i+1} \setminus \{0\};\ k \cdot e \in \operatorname{Im}(\eta_{i+1})\}$$

is a dense subset of $\mathcal{E}_{i+1}$. Hence by Lemma 5.5, it suffices to show that $\operatorname{rk}(F'_{i+1}(e)) \leq 1$ for any $e \in \mathcal{E}^{\diamond}_{i+1}$. Let $e \in \mathcal{E}^{\diamond}_{i+1}$. Then there is a short exact sequence of the form

$$\tau :\ 0 \to U_i \xrightarrow{f_{i+1} + \lambda \cdot g_{i+1}} U_{i+1} \to V \to 0$$

with $[\tau] = e$, for some $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Consequently $F'_{i+1}(e)(f_{i+1} + \lambda \cdot g_{i+1}) = 0$ and $F'_{i+1}(e)$ is not an injective map. Thus $\operatorname{rk}(F'_{i+1}(e)) < \dim_k \mathcal{H}_{i+1} = 2$. □

We get from the above proof the following regular maps:

$$\begin{tikzcd} \mathbb{P}\mathcal{E}_1 \arrow[r, "\psi_1"] \arrow[d, "\varphi_1"'] & \mathbb{P}\mathcal{E}_2 \arrow[r, "\psi_2"] \arrow[d, "\varphi_2"'] & \cdots \arrow[r, "\psi_{n-1}"] & \mathbb{P}\mathcal{E}_n \arrow[r, "\psi_n"] \arrow[d, "\varphi_n"'] & \mathbb{P}\mathcal{E}_{n+1} \\ \mathbb{P}\mathcal{H}_1 & \mathbb{P}\mathcal{H}_2 & & \mathbb{P}\mathcal{H}_n. & \end{tikzcd}$$

Lemma 5.12. *The map* $\mu_i = \varphi_i\psi_{i-1}\dots\psi_1 : \mathbb{P}\mathcal{E}_1 \to \mathbb{P}\mathcal{H}_i$ *is an isomorphism for* $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.

Proof. We consider the map $\eta = \mu_i \circ \varphi_1^{-1} : \mathbb{P}\mathcal{H}_1 \to \mathbb{P}\mathcal{H}_i$ between projective lines. It follows from the diagram (5.2) that $\eta(k \cdot (f_1 + \lambda g_1)) = k \cdot (f_i + \lambda g_i)$ for any $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Hence η and $\eta\varphi_1 = \mu_i$ are isomorphisms of varieties. □

Lemma 5.13. $\mathbb{P}\mathcal{E}_{n+1} = k \cdot [\sigma_{n+1}]$.

Proof. Since the sequence σ_{n+2} splits, the homomorphism g_{n+1} factors through f_{n+1}. By Lemma 5.11(1) applied for $i = n + 1$, it suffices to show that $\xi_{U_n,U_{n+1},V}(f_{n+1} + \lambda g_{n+1}) = k \cdot [\sigma_{n+1}]$ for any $\lambda \in \Lambda$. The latter is true by Lemma 4.1, Corollary 5.4 and since $f_{n+1} + \lambda g_{n+1}$ factors through f_{n+1}. □

Now we can prove the main result of the section.

Proposition 5.14. $\mathbb{P}\overline{\mathcal{E}_{U_1}(V, U_0)}$ *is a rational normal curve of degree* n.

Proof. We consider the image of $\mathbb{P}\mathcal{E}_1 = \mathbb{P}\overline{\mathcal{E}_{U_1}(V, U_0)}$ via the linear map

$$\mathbb{P}F'' : \mathbb{P}\operatorname{Ext}^1_A(V, U_0) \to \mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{H}_1^* \otimes \mathcal{H}_2^* \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{H}_n^* \otimes \operatorname{Ext}^1_A(V, U_n)\right).$$

We know that $\mathcal{E}_{n+1}$ is a linear subspace of dimension 1, by Lemma 5.13. Observe that

$$\mathbb{P}F''(\mathbb{P}\mathcal{E}_1) \subseteq \mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{H}_1^* \otimes \mathcal{H}_2^* \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{H}_n^* \otimes \mathcal{E}_{n+1}\right)$$

and the latter space is canonically isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{H}_1^* \otimes \mathcal{H}_2^* \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{H}_n^*\right)$. Moreover, the induced map

$$\mathcal{F} : \mathbb{P}\mathcal{E}_1 \to \mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{H}_1^* \otimes \mathcal{H}_2^* \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{H}_n^*\right)$$

is the composition of

$$\mathbb{P}\mathcal{E}_1 \xrightarrow{(\mu_1,\mu_2,\dots,\mu_n)^T} \mathbb{P}\mathcal{H}_1 \times \mathbb{P}\mathcal{H}_2 \times \dots \times \mathbb{P}\mathcal{H}_n$$

followed by the canonical isomorphism

$$\mathbb{P}\mathcal{H}_1 \times \mathbb{P}\mathcal{H}_2 \times \dots \times \mathbb{P}\mathcal{H}_n \to \mathbb{P}\mathcal{H}_1^* \times \mathbb{P}\mathcal{H}_2^* \times \dots \times \mathbb{P}\mathcal{H}_n^*$$

and the Segre embedding

$$\mathbb{P}\mathcal{H}_1^* \times \mathbb{P}\mathcal{H}_2^* \times \dots \times \mathbb{P}\mathcal{H}_n^* \to \mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{H}_1^* \otimes \mathcal{H}_2^* \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{H}_n^*\right).$$

Since the maps μ_i are isomorphisms, then in appropriate bases $\mathcal{F}$ has the form

$$(x : y) \mapsto \left(\dots : x^{\varepsilon_1+\dots+\varepsilon_n} y^{n-\varepsilon_1-\dots-\varepsilon_n} : \dots\right)_{(\varepsilon_1,\dots,\varepsilon_n)\in\{0,1\}^n}.$$

Thus $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{P}\mathcal{E}_1)$ is the rational normal curve of degree n (see for instance [8, Ex.1.14]) and the same holds for $\mathbb{P}\mathcal{E}_1$. □

6 Proof of Theorem 1.1

Applying [5, Thm.5] we get that there is a short exact sequence

$$\sigma: \ 0 \to U \to M \to V \to 0$$

and $\operatorname{Sing}(M, N) = \operatorname{Sing}(\overline{\mathcal{E}_M(V,U)}, 0)$. The assumption $\dim \mathcal{O}_M - \dim \mathcal{O}_N = 2$ means that

$$2 = [N,N] - [M,M] = \delta_\sigma(M) + \delta'_\sigma(N) = \delta_\sigma(M) + \delta'_\sigma(V).$$

If $\delta_\sigma(M) = 0$ then $\operatorname{Sing}(M,N) = \mathrm{Reg} = \operatorname{Sing}(\mathcal{C}_1, 0)$, by [14, Cor.3.7]. Thus we may assume that $\delta_\sigma(M) \geq 1$. We know that $\delta'_\sigma(V) \geq 1$, as the sequence σ does not split. Altogether, we get that $\delta_\sigma(M) = \delta'_\sigma(V) = 1$. Hence the claim follows from Proposition 5.14. □

7 Proof of Theorem 1.2

Let (Γ_A, τ_A) denote the Auslander-Reiten quiver of the algebra A (see [10] for details). We may identify the set of vertices of Γ_A with the corresponding indecomposable modules. A connected component $\mathcal{C}$ of Γ_A is called preprojective if it has no cyclic paths and any τ_A-orbit in $\mathcal{C}$ contains a projective module (see [10]). Moreover, a module is said to be preprojective if it is isomorphic to a direct sum of modules from preprojective components.

Let M and N be preprojective modules such that $\dim \mathcal{O}_M - \dim \mathcal{O}_N = 2$ and $\mathcal{O}_N \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{O}}_M$. By [14, Thm.1.1], we may assume that the modules M and N are disjoint. Moreover we may assume that N is a direct sum of at most two indecomposable modules, by [14, Thm.1.2]. Repeating the proof of [14, Lem.6.3], we get that there are indecomposable direct summands U and V of N such that

$$[M,U] < [N,U], \ [U,M] = [U,N] \quad \text{and} \quad [M,V] = [N,V], \ [V,M] < [V,N].$$

Therefore N is isomorphic to $U \oplus V$ and the claim follows from Theorem 1.1. □

8 Example

Let $n \geq 3$ and A be the path algebra of the quiver

$$\begin{tikzcd} & v_1 \arrow[drr] & v_2 \arrow[dr] & \cdots & v_n \arrow[dl] \\ Q: & & & v_0 & \end{tikzcd}$$

with $(n+1)$ vertices and n arrows. We may replace modules by representations of the quiver Q, applying a Morita equivalence and [4].

Let $(a_1 : b_1), (a_2 : b_2), \dots, (a_n : b_n)$ be pairwise different points of $\mathbb{P}^1$. We define four representations of Q:

$$U:\ \begin{tikzcd} 0 \arrow[rrd] & 0 \arrow[rd] & \cdots & 0 \arrow[ld] \\ & & k, & \end{tikzcd} \qquad V:\ \begin{tikzcd} k \arrow[rrd, "{[1]}"'] & k \arrow[rd, "{[1]}"] & \cdots & k \arrow[ld, "{[1]}"] \\ & & k, & \end{tikzcd}$$

$$M:\ \begin{tikzcd} k \arrow[rrd, "{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} a_1 \\ b_1 \end{smallmatrix}\right]}"'] & k \arrow[rd, "{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} a_2 \\ b_2 \end{smallmatrix}\right]}"] & \cdots & k \arrow[ld, "{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} a_n \\ b_n \end{smallmatrix}\right]}"] \\ & & k^2 & \end{tikzcd} \qquad \text{and} \qquad N = U \oplus V.$$

Then $\mathcal{S}_V(U, M) \simeq \{(a, b) \in k^2;\ a_i b \neq b_i a \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$. In particular, $\mathcal{O}_N \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{O}}_M$, by Corollary 2.5. Since the representation U is projective and the representation V is injective, then

$$[U, M] = [M, V] = 2 \qquad \text{and} \qquad [U, U] = [U, V] = [V, V] = 1.$$

Moreover, direct matrix calculations show that $[V, U] = 0$ and $[M, M] = 1$. Hence

$$[U, M] = [U, N], \qquad [M, V] = [N, V], \qquad [N, N] - [M, M] = 2,$$

and we can apply Theorem 1.1. In fact, one can show that $\mathrm{Sing}(M, N)$ is the type of singularity of a cone over a rational normal curve of degree $(n-2)$. Observe that the representation V is not preprojective (and U is not preinjective) provided $n \geq 4$.

References

[1] M. Auslander, I. Reiten and S. Smalø, Representation Theory of Artin Algebras, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics **36** (1995).

[2] J. Bender and K. Bongartz, Minimal singularities in orbit closures of matrix pencils, Linear Algebra Appl. **365** (2003), 13–24.

[3] G. Bobiński and G. Zwara, Schubert varieties and representations of Dynkin quivers, Colloq. Math. **94** (2002), 285–309.

[4] K. Bongartz, A geometric version of the Morita equivalence, J. Algebra **139** (1991), 159–171.

[5] K. Bongartz, Minimal singularities for representations of Dynkin quivers, Comment. Math. Helv. **63** (1994), 575–611.

[6] K. Bongartz, On degenerations and extensions of finite dimensional modules, Adv. Math. **121** (1996), 245–287.

[7] K. Bongartz and T. Fritzsche, On minimal disjoint degenerations for preprojective representations of quivers, Math. Comput. **72** (2003), 2013–2042.

[8] J. Harris, Algebraic geometry, a first course, GTM **133**, Springer-Verlag (1992).

[9] W. Hesselink, Singularities in the nilpotent scheme of a classical group, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **222** (1976), 1–32.

[10] C. M. Ringel, Tame algebras and integral quadratic forms, Springer Lecture Notes in Math. **1099** (1984).

[11] G. Zwara, Degenerations of finite dimensional modules are given by extensions, Compos. Math. **121** (2000), 205–218.

[12] G. Zwara, Unibranch orbit closures in module varieties, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. **35** (2002), 877–895.

[13] G. Zwara, Regularity in codimension one of orbit closures in module varieties, J. Algebra **283** (2005), 821–848.

[14] G. Zwara, Orbit closures for representations of Dynkin quivers are regular in codimension two, J. Math. Soc. Japan **57** (2005), 859–880.

Grzegorz Zwara
Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science
Nicolaus Copernicus University
Chopina 12/18, 87-100 Toruń, Poland
E-mail: gzwara@mat.uni.torun.pl